

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL  
FIRST DISTRICT, STATE OF FLORIDA

MICHAEL J. GILES,  
  
Appellant,

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO  
FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND  
DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

v.

CASE NO. 1D11-4311

STATE OF FLORIDA,  
  
Appellee.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Opinion filed January 10, 2013.

An appeal from the Circuit Court for Leon County.  
James C. Hankinson, Judge.

Michael Ufferman of Michael Ufferman Law Firm, P.A., Tallahassee, for  
Appellant.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, and Samuel A. Perrone, Assistant Attorney  
General, Tallahassee, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Mr. Michael Giles appeals his judgment and sentence for aggravated battery  
with a deadly weapon in connection with his actions during the early morning

hours of February 6, 2010. We affirm his conviction without comment, but we find the state's concession of error to be proper with respect to the fines, surcharges, and costs imposed at sentencing.

“The fine authorized by section 775.083(1) is discretionary and, thus, it was error for the trial court to impose the \$1,050 fine under this statute without specifically pronouncing the fine at the sentencing hearing.” Nix v. State, 84 So. 3d 424, 426 (Fla. 1st DCA 2012). Additionally, “[b]ecause this fine was erroneously imposed, the surcharge under section 938.04, which is based on the amount of fine, must also be reversed.” Id. Similarly, under the relevant version of the statute, because the trial court erred in imposing the fine, the \$20 court cost imposed pursuant to section 938.06 must also be stricken. See Sanders v. State, 37 Fla. L. Weekly D2313 (Fla. 1st DCA October 3, 2012); Mallory v. State, 70 So. 3d 738 (Fla. 1st DCA 2011); Pullam v. State, 55 So. 3d 674, 675 (Fla. 1st DCA 2011).

On remand, the trial court may reimpose the assessments after providing notice to Appellant and following the proper procedure. Nix, 84 So. 3d at 426.

REVERSED and REMANDED.

DAVIS, VAN NORTWICK, and ROWE, JJ., CONCUR.